

<p style="text-align: center;"><b>Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary</b></p>	<p><b>Application No.</b> 10/697,752</p>	<p><b>Applicant(s)</b> BERGMAN ET AL.</p>	
	<p><b>Examiner</b> Thuy Dao</p>	<p><b>Art Unit</b> 2192</p>	

**All Participants:**

(1) Mr. Shifren, David E. (Req. No. 59,329).

(2) Thuy Dao.

**Date of Interview:** 21 June 2010

**Type of Interview:**  
☒ Telephonic  
☐ Video Conference  
☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

**Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated:** ☐ Yes ☒ No  
 If Yes, provide a brief description: .

**Part I.**  
**Rejection(s) discussed:**  
35 USC 102(b) and 103(a)

**Claims discussed:**  
29, 33, 36, and 37.

**Prior art documents discussed:**  
Wolfman (Mixed Initiative Interfaces for Learning Tasks) and Huang (US 2004/0078682 A1)

**Part II.**  
**SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:**  
Mr. Shifren and Mr. Lewis accepted proposed examiner's amendments to merge dependent claim 33 into independent claims 29, 36, and 37 to put the case in condition for allowance.

**Part III.**  
☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.  
☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

/Thuy Dao/  
 Examiner, Art Unit 2192

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)